



To,

- 1. Shri Sarbananda Sonowal Union Cabinet Minister- (Division AYUSH)
- 2. Shri Rajesh Kotecha Secretary- (Division AYUSH)
- 3. Dr Munjapara Mahendrabhai Minister of State- (Division AYUSH)
- 4. Dr Raj Kumar Manchanda Director- AYUSH Delhi

Ministry of AYUSH, Ayush Bhawan, B Block, GPO Complex, INA, New Delhi-110023

We the concerned Doctors and Medical practitioners from different medical streams have come together to convey our long-standing grievances regarding the various decisions and steps AYUSH ministry has been taking for these medical sciences, without giving any due attention to our concerns and objections as grassroot clinical practitioners in our respective states.

Many similar attempts were made earlier by many doctors to communicate the same, but unfortunately no cognizance was shown by the ministry and issues have remained un-addressed.

We wish to share our concerns as practitioners of said medical streams which belong under Ayush.

Our very immediate concern is about the Collaboration of AYUSH with World Health Organization (WHO)

First let us bring to your notice some more information about WHO and why we should be concerned about its involvement.

The link provides all the necessary details which we need to ponder upon



https://awakenindiamovement.com/wpcontent/uploads/2023/08/Covering\_Letter\_to\_AIM\_Position\_Paper\_on\_WHO\_an d its proposed Global.docx

WHO (World health organization) has drifted away from the core foundational principle of public health care. Sadly, it is more obsessed about power and wants to get unfettered access to the public health administration of respective countries. It is a bad omen for the independence and sovereignty of nations across the world.

The recent example which proves this is the covid-pandemic. It is well seen by all how WHO sabotaged doctors' fundamental right to practice. Worst affected were doctors who belong to different medical knowledge systems like ayurveda, homoeopathy, naturopathy etc.

Below are some of the explanations and requests/demand given by the respective fraternity doctors.

Medical knowledge systems cannot be considered as "Tradition" (ideas, beliefs, or practices indigenous to different cultures – referred to by WHO), rather each one is a Science-Based Medicine. The science here refers to its original meaning derived from the term "Scientia", meaning knowledge. Science of Life and the Science of Medicine. Ayush has not recognized the many more medical knowledge systems than the 5 mentioned. There are actually over 45 such medical knowledge systems

## 1. Ayurveda:

- The Science of Ayurveda is deterministic and not probabilistic, which means there is no randomness or probability in explaining future states. If the initial state is known exactly by solid fundamental theorems, logic, and principles of this science, then the future state could be predicted and demonstrated by the true and established (cause-effect relationship) premises of the science.
- There is transparency and predictability for the inbuilt theorems, logic, and principles of Ayurvedic Science which adopts deductive reasoning of logic to validate and establish its conclusions. This science is capable to make accurate predictions when the premises are rightly applied in their intended contexts.
- Ayurveda which is an independent medical science with its own independent scientific footage of infrastructure and innate research methodologies, is entirely incompatible with the reductionist inductive reasoning-oriented approach of Western Medicine led by probabilistic uncertainties.
- Scientific validation of Ayurveda is possible only by the science of Ayurveda and never by the inductive reasoning-oriented tools of Biostatistics. In Ayurveda, research commences from the logic of the background science of Ayurveda and not from the resultant random observations of blind experimentation with unknown compounds. Herbal formulations which are statistically proven in various clinical conditions based on a hypothesis and



RCT-derived results are not at all considered medicine (Bhaishajya) in Ayurvedic science, whereas the treatment principle-oriented formulation for reversal of disease pathology is only considered as medicine in Ayurvedic science. Ayurveda strictly condemns the blind application of Medicine (Blinded Randomised control trials) and it mentions "Inbuilt Science (inbuilt) led reasoning (Shaastra sahita tarka)" as the accepted golden standard of clinical decisions.

## 2. Homoeopathy:

- It is said that Homoeopathy existed before Hahnemann in the same way as gravity existed before Newton. Both of them had explored their realities. Homoeopathy was discovered out of need and want of individualized and holistic cure, which was lacking in the then medical science of allopathy. Homoeopathy is based on basic principle of "Similia similibus curantur" or "like cures like".
- In spite of this, unfortunately homoeopathy has been questioned about its scientific basis, when in fact this science has timely proved its efficacy always in acute as well as chronic ailments, cases where patients have lost hope of recovery.
- Homoeopathy has been criticized by many as a 'placebo therapy', which is absolute insult to its scientific basis. It has its own modus-operandi and basic principles as pillars of homoeopathic science.
- The efficacy of these medicines in infants, children, adults, plants & animals is proven and hence there is dire need to wash off the bias about it from Wikipedia to paid media & from WHO to Pharma industry.
- Research methods of subjective evidences should be accepted as proof of evidences as Homoeopathy is a system based on individual responses to individual medicines, as no two cases are alike, just as every living creature on this planet is unique in its own way.

## 3. **Naturopathy:**

- In Naturopathy and Yogic sciences there are no medicines that are prescribed. It's a Natural Chikitsa.
- Medicine is a western word which doesn't have the meaning of Sanskrit word "Chikitsa". Chikitsa means a treatment that heals. So, it should not be misunderstood that because it doesn't have any chemical formula or Herbs as medicines so it should not be a part of Medicine.
- The treatments that are used to assist body's innate healing power are Hydrotherapy, Mud therapy, therapeutic massage, Diet and fasting, Yogic Shuddhi kriyas, Acupuncture and Acupressure.

- All these treatments are given according to the patient's conditions and lifestyle.
- 1. Hence all medical science systems under AYUSH (plus those not listed too) should not be considered as traditional medicine but rather they should be considered as evidence based medical sciences because they have their healing evidences.
- 2. WHO can have no power over AYUSH medicines and treatments.
- 3. All the motives of the scientists and leaders has to be clear and they cannot have any right to regulate or suppress these systems in any emergencies, conditions or pandemic or whatsoever.
- 4. Letting WHO play any authoritative role with Ayush medical knowledge systems will be dangerous for all medical systems that are legally practiced in India. Unless we raise our voice collectively today, we may soon lose our identity and rights completely.

Fortunately, rights of citizens to choose the medical system of their choice is respected in India. This is aligned with the bodily rights enshrined in the Nuremberg Code. In our country, we have a different types of therapeutic medical system facilities available and acceptable for the citizens in the form of AYUSH SYSTEMS.

CGHS dispensaries under the Central Government Health Scheme in the country are doing excellent work where patients have the option of different systems of Medicine available under one roof like that of Allopathy, Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani and Siddha medicines.

Medical Emergencies are also most of the times averted with help of these medical systems if the case is approached at right time during disease progression. Negligence is universal, irrespective of pathy but as per statistics iatrogenic diseases are in the name of Allopathy...!

All these different medical fraternities cannot be regulated by Western research methods and policies owing to the reasons explained above. The nature of these sciences and the goals of action of Medicine are entirely different from that of Western Medicine.

1. Ayush Ministry must understand that these various medical systems are not Traditional Medicine, but rather it is Science (of Life) Based Evidence (inbuilt) **Based Medicine.** 



- 2. We advocate for decentralized delivery of our medical knowledge systems with free choice to patients for their path of risk and recovery.
- 3. Policing or regulation by any international body is a violation of sovereignty and individual rights of people, and it allows for lobby groups to take worldwide control as was seen in the covid pandemic leading to a loss of lives from such protocols.

Would like to draw your attention to one more legal fact, wherein the court held that a person right to choose the form of medical treatment or to reject medication was absolute. Please find this information in this link shared here

http://www.slic.org.in/litigation/karnataka-high-court-directs-governmentof-india-to-consider-modification-of-sop-for-treatment-of-covid-19symptoms-to-protect-patients-right-of-choice-5f758f5b16908

So TCIH (Traditional complimentary integrative health) is not a great idea for us to have. It has proposed a declaration to be signed by individuals, practitioners, patients and governments and we do not agree to submit to any such international authority structure.

It was/is/will be dire need to include all these systems of medicines and put to use best of each to combat public health in general on regular basis as well as during any national crisis. Implementing the work force of all doctors who belong to above said medical sciences will reduce the overall burden of our health sector and will fulfil the requirement of doctor patient ratio.

These systems till now had a free hand in their respective practices and is proved to have given good health solutions to humans since ages. But because of the WHO set protocols during pandemic, our own ministry AYUSH obeyed WHO and could not deliver the expectations of their own doctors for unknown reasons.

Surprisingly and to a great astonishment now WHO has joined hands with AYUSH in an agreement for WHO-GCTM (global center for traditional medicine) at Jamnagar Gujarat. (PLEASE NOTE that we all practitioners oppose the very use of the word "traditional" and "complementary" for Ayush medical practices.) It is far from understanding that the same WHO whose guidelines did not trust these medical systems during pandemic is collaborating with AYUSH now.

Why is then now WHO interested in AYUSH and vice versa?

On same lines in month of July an online dialogue session was hosted by WHO organized by TCIH (traditional complementary integrative health). This was a part of a series of CSO (civil societies organizations) engagements with WHO.

The aim was to better understand civil society priorities and ensure its participation.



Dr Raj Manchanda – India envisioned TCIH to be a valuable resource for universal health coverage and proposed an integrative and holistic approach.

Dr Tedros considered this dialogue session as beginning of new partnership and gave affirmation of including CS in designing upcoming strategies. He has suggested setting up of an advisory group for the same.

Now we as doctors practicing these medical sciences have some concerns on these recent developments.

Similar concerns were expressed recently in one of the weekly newsletters of Universal Health Organization (UHO- Registered Health Org) as below:

"We have some concerns on these developments. While recent trends indicate that the Western nations are poised to give a cold shoulder to the WHO due to its misadventures during the pandemic and its efforts to bring about the tyrannical Pandemic Treaty, the Asian countries, particularly India, seem to welcome it with warmth and open arms."

"Surrendering center stage to the WHO in this venture will bring up undesirable influence of various conflicts of interests. Careerists from AYUSH systems of medicine will dance to the tune of WHO and pharma companies as we saw the mainstream doctors do in the recent pandemic. Well, some doctors did take it literally and actually danced in Covid wards! Having killed the science of modern medicine, WHO will next kill the art of AYUSH systems of holistic health." https://uho.org.in/nl/2023-07-07-newsletter.pdf

We strongly oppose AYUSH Ministry of India having a tie up with WHO due to the abysmal performance of WHO with regards to health of people across the world over the last 75 years, and their complete mishandling of the Covid Pandemic that revealed many medical malpractices that were propagated by them, based on bad science and bad medical ethics. When WHO does not really qualify to advise India on healthcare, we fail to see why the Indian Government is forging ties with it.

- 1) Primarily, WHO does not focus on educating people on the right lifestyle choices, diet, natural detox methods, natural supplements, exercise, etc. that prevent people from getting sick and also improve people's immunity against communicable diseases. WHO is ignorant of these aspects of Preventive Medicine that have been part of Indian healthcare systems since ages.
- 2) WHO mainly promotes Allopathic Medicine that is not curative in nature, yet making huge profits for pharma companies across the world, this can

be seen mainly as a business venture by WHO. It cannot be compared to actual healing systems that have been practiced in India since ages such as Ayurveda, Yoga, Unani, Siddha, Homeopathy and Sowa Rigpa.

- 3) WHO has proven itself to be a medically negligent organization as well as propagating the following medical malpractices during the Covid Pandemic. So why is the Indian Government forging ties with such an organization?
  - a. Their fraudulent changing of the definition of Pandemic from the number of deaths, to the number of +ve cases based on an unscientific PCR Test that gave 97% false positives.
  - b. They did not do scientific trials of the virus to prove that it causes the covid flu.
  - c. They stated that asymptomatic people infect others, without scientific
  - d. Their Covid Appropriate Behaviors damaged the health of millions across the globe.
  - e. They mandated diagnostic protocols in medical institutions across the globe that falsely marked most non-Covid deaths as Covid deaths, even though they did not express the classic influenza symptoms before dying.
  - f. WHO did not mandate 'Informed Consent' for all the Covid-19 experimental vaccines.
  - g. They did not mandate the AEFI system across countries to address vaccine injuries or deaths.
  - h. They stated that vaccine induced immunity was better than natural immunity, even though scientific studies show that naturally acquired immunity from infection is 27 times stronger than vaccine induced immunity.
  - i. WHO did its best to enforce the Experimental Gene Altering Covid Vaccines on all countries. After two years of its performance, ground reports from across the world state that Covid Vaccines have caused over 100 side effects including death. It is now common knowledge that none of the Covid Vaccines prevent anyone from getting the flu, neither do they prevent anyone from getting sick from the flu, neither do they prevent anyone from dying of the flu, and finally, they do not even prevent anyone from passing on the flu to others. Instead, the Covid Vaccines have only succeeded in making people across the globe sicker, as well as causing millions of deaths.
- 4) WHO Covid Treatment Protocols also blocked the 3-Step Flu Diet of Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury in India which was proven to be the best Covid Flu Cure in India, even after it was audited and approved by the AYUSH Ministry.

- 5) There is increasing evidence now that only allopathic doctors who took WHO advised covid vaccines are having side effects and many are dying from effects of the covid vaccines, whereas, AYUSH doctors who did not take the covid vaccines, and instead used their own pathy protocols to deal with the Covid Flu, have faced no harm at all.
- 6) Due to the above, WHO cannot be considered an ethical organization and the Indian Government would be committing the biggest crime by risking the lives of Indians with this organization by working along with it. WHO has no standing to dictate terms to any of the AYUSH modalities that have been practiced in India much before WHO or its Allopathy system was formed, mainly because all our AYUSH healthcare modalities have performed better than WHO or Allopathy.
- 7) We all clearly understand the policy of the Indian Government that 'Health' is a State Subject'. Given the population of this country, it is obvious that just one central authority in India will have 1/36th the ability to respond quickly to health emergencies and the daily health needs of people across all the 36 states of India. We therefore strongly recommend that one Multi-Disciplinary Medicine and Healthcare Committee be set up in each state of India, and one National Multi-Disciplinary Medicine and Healthcare Committee also be set up for their coordination, to take care of the health of all Indian citizens.

It is sad to see our own national government not shedding light on these obscure and grey areas. Mainstream media is being seen to badly fail on all aspects in playing its liberal role. With all the censorship and pressures applied by higher officials, lack of transparency, our true medical science and knowledge is being destroyed.

It is a call to use our magnifying glasses to study, understand and talk about the intricacies and see if there is need to "stitch in time" to save untoward consequences if any and try to rest our health in our own hands.

We have very high hopes and faith this time that our above concerns will be acknowledged and reverted back by the AYUSH Ministry at the earliest.

Please let us know when and how, we the concerned Doctors of the said medical streams can approach the ministry to communicate further on this.

Some Allopathic doctors and concerned citizens who also have concern about WHO involvement with India in general also have willingly given their names as signees to this letter.

So unanimously, we stand together "against WHO".



Thanking you in advance,

Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury, Faridabad, Ph.D (Diabetes)

Dr. Khadar Valli, Mysuru, PhD (IISc), Millets Expert

Dr. N K Sharma, Delhi, ND (Doctor of Naturopathy), Founder Chairman Reiki **Healing Foundation** 

Dr. Gayatri Panditrao, Pune, Homeopathic Physician, BHMS, PGDEMS Dr. Jacob Vadakkanchery, Malipuram, Naturopath, Chairman Janarogya Prasthanam Kerala

Dr. Remya Krishnan, MD, PhD, Associate Professor (Ayurveda) (Kerala)

Dr. Abhay Chedda, Mumbai, BHMS, CCAH, **FCAH** 

Dr. Nandita Shah, Mumbai, Homeopath, Founder – SHARAN, Recipient of Nari Shakti Puraskaar

Dr. Pradeep Sethiya, MD Homoeopathy - Pune

Dr Madhuri Patil, Mumbai, BAMS, MD (Ayurveda)

Dr Maya Valecha, Vadodara, MBBS, MD Gynaec

Dr. Saurabh Mishra, Mumbai, BNYS; Naturopathy and Yoga Physician

Dr. Rashmi Menon. Mumbai, BHMS, ChT Dr. Sudhir Jagtap, Pune, MD (Medicine)

Dr. Falguni Chheda, Mumbai, MBBS, CCAH, FCAH Consultant Center for Cosmic Homeopathy

Dr. Praveen Saxena, Hyderabad, MBBS, DMRD, **FCMT** 

Dr. Veena Raghava, Bangalore, MBBS, DA (Anesthesiology)

Dr. Susan Raj, Chhattisgarh, BSc Nurse, MSW(M&P), Doctorate Humanities, Behaviour **Specialist** 

Dr. Urvi Savla, Vasai, MD (Hom), FCAH

Dr. Akshai Kolagani, Telangana, MBBS, Medical Officer at Community Health Center

Dr. Biji PB, Kerala, BAMS, MD (Panchakarma)

Dr. Tarun Kothari, New Delhi, MBBS, MD

Dr. Anand Kelkar, Pune, Naturopath, BSc, ND

Dr Sayed Tahir Hassan, Kerala, MBBD, MD

Dr Shrikant Bade, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, DHMS (Homeopathy)

Dr. Madhab Nayak, Berhampur Odisha, MBBS, MD Community Medicine MKCG MCH

Dr Geraldine Sanjay, Bangalore, BSc, MBBS, DEM, MD Forensic Medicine

Dr. Lalitkumar Anande, Mumbai, MBBS, PG Diploma in Clinical Research

Dr. Mohanan Pulikodan. Kerala, Naturopathy – Yoga Practitioner

Dr. Kuldeep Kumar, Haridwar, MBBS MS General Surgery



Jagannath Chaterjee, Bhubhaneshwar, Social Activist

Ambar Koiri, Mumbai, Awaken India Movement

Rushil Tamboli, Bhavnagar, Awaken India Movement

Functional Medicine Expert, Awaken India Movement

Yohan Tengra, Mumbai,

Nisha Koiri, Mumbai, Naturopath, Awaken India Movement

**Prakash Pohare**, Akola, Chief Editor, Daily Deshonnati

Saraswati Kavula, Hyderabad, Documentary Filmmaker & Freelance Journalist, Awaken India Movement

Feroze Mithiborwala. Mumbai, Bharat Bachao Aandolan

Ashutosh Pathak, Delhi, Senior Journalist

Ameeta Shah Sanghavi, Mumbai, Integrated Wellness Psychotherapist

Aruna Rodrigues, Mhow (MP), Lead Petitioner – GMO PIL in Supreme Court

Darryl D'Souza, Goa, Earth Keepers Connect

Sapna Chhetija, Mumbai Naturopath, Awaken India Movement

Virendra Singh, Haridwar, Awaken India Movement

